Willows Community High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2013-14 School Year Published During 2014-15

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- View this SARC online at the school and/or LEA Web sites.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information				
School Name	Willows Community High School			
Street	823 West Laurel Street			
City, State, Zip	Willows, CA 95988			
Phone Number	(530) 934-6605			
Principal	Dr. Mort Geivett			
E-mail Address	mgeivett@willowsunified.org			
Web Site				
CDS Code	11-62661-1130038			

District Contact Information			
District Name	Willows Unified School District		
Phone Number	(530) 934-6600		
Superintendent	Mort Geivett, Ed.D.		
E-mail Address	kschmies@willowsunified.org		
Web Site	www.willowsunified.org		

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Willows Community High School (WCHS) is in the Willows Unified School District and serves students in grades 9-12. WCHS is an alternative educational setting designed to provide a comprehensive curriculum to meet the need of students at risk.

"A PLACE TO GROW IN THE PRESENT, PREPARE FOR THE FUTURE AND OFFER SUCCESS FOR ALL"

Dr. Mort Geivett, PRINCIPAL

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2013-14)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 10	3
Grade 11	7
Grade 12	13
Total Enrollment	23

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	4.3
Asian	4.3
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	39.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	52.2
Two or More Races	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	87.0
English Learners	8.7
Students with Disabilities	4.3

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2014-15
With Full Credential	1	1	1	61
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2013-14)

Landing of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.00	0.00				
All Schools in District	100.00	0.00				
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.00	0.00				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.00	0.00				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2014-15)

Year and month in which data were collected: 05-08-2014

The Williams legislation demands that all schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also demands districts to purchase standard-based textbooks that align to the California State Standards.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption From Most Recent Adoption?		Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Elements of Writing Holt, Rinehart & Winston	Yes	0
	Writers Choice 11 & 12 Glenco-McGraw Hill		
	Language McDougal Littell		
Mathematics	Algebra I & Pre-Algebra Prentice-Hall	Yes	0
Science	Biology Today Holt, Rinehart & Winston	Yes	0
History-Social Science	The Americans McDougal Littell	Yes	0
	Modern World History MDougal Littell		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of school facilities, including any needed maintenance to ensure good repair of the buildings are important to the school and district. A description of the condition and cleanliness of the school grounds, buildings, and restrooms are available.

The facility consists of one permanent building for the office and one portable building for the classroom. Breakfast and lunches are prepared at the comprehensive high school and transported to our facility on a daily basis. A part-time custodian and the district maintenance and grounds personnel help to provide safe, clean, and well-kept classrooms facilities.

In August 2007, the District Office relocated to the west side of the permanent building. The relocation has been successful for both the District and the Community High School.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction. Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 10-07-2014						
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and		
System inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]			
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Output Booking	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Achievement State Priority (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress and its successor the Standardized Testing and Reporting Program);
- The Academic Performance Index; and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress / Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject		School		District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				48	39	44	60	59	60

Note: Science assessments include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2013-14)

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	44
All Student at the School	
Male	
Female	
Black or African American	
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Asian	
Filipino	
Hispanic or Latino	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	
White	
Two or More Races	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	
English Learners	
Students with Disabilities	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	

Note: CAASPP includes science assessments (CSTs, CMA, and CAPA) in grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School			District			State		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	13	6	13	42	43	42	54	56	55
Mathematics			6	35	34	30	49	50	50
History-Social Science	9	8	6	31	24	29	48	49	49

Note: STAR Program was last administered in 2012-13. Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Academic Performance Index Ranks (Three-Year Comparison)

Academic I criormance mack names (Times real comparison)									
API Rank	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13						
Statewide			В						
Similar Schools			В						

Note: For 2014 and subsequent years, the statewide and similar schools ranks will no longer be produced.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group (Three-Year Comparison)

	. `	Actual API Change	
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
All Students at the School	В		В
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2013-14)

We do not offer Career Technical Education courses at our school.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2013-14)

Measure	CTE Program Participation				
Number of pupils participating in CTE	na				
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma					
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	na				

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2013-14 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	41.33
2012-13 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.00

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of English, mathematics, and physical education.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students (Three-Year Comparison)

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject	School			District			State		
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
English-Language Arts				46	31	43	56	57	56
Mathematics				45	38	43	58	60	62

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group (School Year 2013-14)

	Engl	ish-Language	Arts		Mathematics	
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	57	23	20	57	37	6
All Students at the School	0			0		
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2013-14)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards					
9								

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Parents have several opportunities to become involved in their child's education through Back to School Night or Parent Volunteer Participation. Please contact the school principal, Dr. Mort Geivett, to find out how you can participate.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- · High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

School			District			State			
Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Dropout Rate	15.3	16.8	15.0	15.3	16.8	15.0	14.7	13.1	11.4
Graduation Rate	80.65	74.81	82.68	80.65	74.81	82.68	77.14	78.87	80.44

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements (Graduating Class of 2013)

		Graduating Class of 2013						
Group	School	District	State					
All Students	100.00	82.09	84.56					
Black or African American	0.00	0.00	75.90					
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	66.67	77.82					
Asian	0.00	60.00	92.94					
Filipino	0.00	100.00	92.20					
Hispanic or Latino	300.00	87.50	80.83					
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.00	100.00	84.06					
White	80.00	80.60	90.15					
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00	89.03					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	100.00	83.10	82.58					
English Learners	100.00	66.67	53.68					
Students with Disabilities	0.00	72.73	60.31					

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

School				District			State		
Rate	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Suspensions	5.6	7.5	13.0	3.3	5.6	4.00	5.7	5.1	4.4
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure-Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. Staff and students practice fire, earthquake, and the Code Red Lockdown each semester. Teachers and students are familiar with the procedures. Safety is high priority at Willows Community High School. The plan was reviewed and updated September, 2014.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2013-14)

7								
AYP Criteria	School	District						
Made AYP Overall	No							
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes							
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes							
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No							
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No							
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A							

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2014-15)

Indicator	School	District	
Program Improvement Status		In PI	
First Year of Program Improvement		2009-2010	
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3	
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		3	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		100.0	

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		201	1-12			2012-13		2013-14				
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Classrooms		Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg. Number of Classroon		srooms			
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size 1-2	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	14	1	0	0	9	2	1		4	7		
Mathematics	14	1	0	0	13	1	1		6	4		
Science	15	1	0	0	14	1	1		7	3		
Social Science	8	2	0	0	8	6	1		3	10		

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

^{*} DW (determination waiver) indicates that the PI status of the school was carried over from the prior year in accordance with the flexibility granted through the federal waiver process.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2013-14)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		25
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.5	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)		
Psychologist		
Social Worker		
Nurse		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		
Resource Specialist		
Other		

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$9,590	\$511	\$9,079	\$82,906
District			\$30,771	\$71,574
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-70.5	15.8
State			\$4,690	\$57,912
Percent Difference: School Site and State			93.6	43.2

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

We are required to report financial data from the 2012-13 school year by the California Department of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office. It is important to note when comparing Teacher and Administrative Salaries, these salaries include BOSS (Benefits on Salary Schedule).

Spending per Student:

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2012-13 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials, economic impact aid, and teacher and principal training funds.

Next to the figures for the district and state averages, we show the percentage by which the school's spending varies from the district and state averages. For example, we calculate the school's variance from the district average using this formula:

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$52,393	\$38,152
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$67,928	\$55,573
Highest Teacher Salary	\$81,837	\$71,908
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$101,061	\$87,660
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$107,274	\$92,424
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$111,584	\$93,606
Superintendent Salary	\$125,308	\$116,538
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39	34
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7	7

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2013-14)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		
English		
Fine and Performing Arts		
Foreign Language		
Mathematics		
Science		
Social Science		
All courses		

Note: Cells with "---" do not require data.

Professional Development

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach.

"HIGHLY QUALIFIED" TEACHERS: The federal law known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB) requires districts to report the number of teachers considered to be "highly qualified." These "highly qualified" teachers must have a full credential, a bachelor's degree, and, if they are teaching a core subject (such as reading, math, science, or social studies), they must also demonstrate expertise in that field. The table above shows the percentage of core courses taught by teachers who are considered to be less than "highly qualified." There are exceptions, known as the High Objective Uniform State Standard of Evaluation (HOUSSE) rules, that allow some veteran teachers to meet the "highly qualified" test who wouldn't otherwise do so.

TEACHING OUT OF FIELD: When a teacher lacks a subject area authorization for a course he/she is teaching, that course is counted as an out-of-field section. For example, if an unexpected vacancy in a biology class occurs, and a teacher who normally teaches English literature (and who lacks a subject area authorization in science) fills in to teach for the rest of the year, that teacher would be teaching out of field. See the detail by core course area in the Out-of-Field Teaching table. About 94 percent of our core courses were taught by teachers who were teaching out of their field of expertise, compared with 33 percent of core courses taught by such continuation high school teachers statewide.

CREDENTIAL STATUS OF TEACHERS: Teachers who lack full credentials are working under the terms of an emergency permit, an internship credential, or a waiver. They should be working toward their credential, and they are allowed to teach in the meantime only if the school board approves. None of our teachers was working without full credentials, compared with five percent of teachers in continuation high schools statewide.

^{*} Where there are student course enrollments.